

Record of Interview

Applicants, through attorney Daniel E. Sineway, thank Examiner Thorl Chea for granting Applicants a telephone interview on November 9, 2010, and for the Examiner's professionalism shown during the interview.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.133(b), the following is submitted as a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action. The following statement is intended to comply with the requirements of MPEP § 713.04 and expressly sets forth: (A) a brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted; (B) identification of the claims discussed; (C) identification of specific prior art discussed; (D) identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed; (E) the general thrust of the principal arguments; (F) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed; and (G) the general results or outcome of the interview, if appropriate.

On November 9, 2010, Daniel E. Sineway (attorney for Applicants) contacted the Examiner to discuss the Office Action of September 30, 2010, and potential claim amendments and arguments to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejections contained therein. (A) No exhibits were shown or discussed; (B) claim 1, 15, and 24 were specifically discussed; (C) no specific prior art was discussed; (D) amendments in accordance with those presented in this paper were discussed; (E) arguments in accordance with those presented in this paper were discussed; (F) no other pertinent matters were discussed; and (G) the general results of the interview were that Applicants would present amendments addressing the 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejections of the claims contained in the Office Action. It was discussed that such amendments would likely overcome the rejections contained in the Office Action, although further consideration and a further search by the Examiner may be necessary.

The amendments and remarks that follow herein are intended to be consistent with the discussion during the interview.

In the event that the foregoing record is not considered complete and accurate, the Examiner is respectfully requested to bring any incompleteness or inaccuracy to the attention of the undersigned.